Assessment & Award Regulations

1.	Course Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine				
2.	Year Finals Part III				
3.	Applicable to Academic Year 2023/24				
4.	Aspects of Course Covered by Examination				
	Research Project 2				
5.	Form of Examination				
5.1	 For students tracking in Clinical Rotations: A Report of up to 4,000 words (research report or critiqued scientific review) 				
5.2	For students tracking in Research:A research report of up to 5,000 words				
	 An oral presentation (15 minutes – 10-minute presentation, 5 minutes of questions) 				
6.	Marking Criteria				
6.1.	 Research Project The Common Grading Scheme (Projects) will be used for marking with the following aspects of the project considered in the evaluation of the written submission: (i) Planning and execution – the practical and theoretical approach, particularly in relation to previously published work (ii) Presentation – organisation of the report, following the required format; use of graphs, tables and diagrams (iii) Evaluation of the project – a critical assessment of the study, soundness of discussion and use of statistical tests where appropriate 				
6.2.	The supervisor's assessment mark will be scaled according to 7 below. The allocation will be based upon college-wide criteria for the supervisor's assessment.				
6.3	 Marking Criteria Critiqued Scientific Review The Common Grading Scheme (Critiqued Scientific Review) will be used for marking with the following aspects of the project considered in the evaluation of the written submission: (i) Selection & Coverage of Material: The student should provide a clear statement of hypothesis and PICO question and relevance of both to subject area. Additionally appropriate description of PICO methodologies including key words used, eligibility criteria and information sources employed for the search should be provided. (ii) Understanding of Concepts & Critical Ability: The student should demonstrate evidence of understanding of the PICO process to critique scientific literature and address hypothesis. This should incorporate evidence of critical ability and/or powers of argument based around interpretation of the evidence collected during the task outlined by appropriate conclusions. (iii) Structure, Clarity & Presentation: The student should follow the guidelines regarding application of the PICO process and templates provided for the task and demonstrate logical presentation of discussion section with clarity of expression. 				

7.	Allocation of Marks and any Additional Requirements					
	i) For Students Tracking in Clinical Rotations					
	Component	First Sit	Resit			
	Project Written	90%	90%			
	Submission					
	Project Supervisor's Assessment	10%*	10%*			
	ii) For students tracking in Clinical Rotations doing a critiqued scientific review					
	Component	First Sit	Resit			
	Project Written Submission	100%	100%			
	iii) For Students Trackin	g in Research				
	Ćomponent	First Sit	Resit			
	Project Written Submission	70%	70%			
	Project Supervisor's Assessment	10%*	10%*			
	Oral Presentation	20%	20%			
8.	*Where the supervisor mark weighted at 100% of the mark Requirements to Pass O	ark.	dents, the project written sub	mission will be		
	weighted at 100% of the ma	ark.	dents, the project written sub	mission will be		
	weighted at 100% of the main Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese	ark. verall t least an aggregat earch project or	dents, the project written sub ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review) project) and Oral Presen	Project Written and Project		
	weighted at 100% of the main Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese	ark. verall t least an aggregat earch project or essment (research	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review)	Project Written and Project		
	weighted at 100% of the ma Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese Supervisor's Asso applicable) combin AND To have complete	ark. verall at least an aggregate earch project or essment (research ned;	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review)	Project Written and Project tation (where		
8.1.	weighted at 100% of the ma Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese Supervisor's Asse applicable) combin AND	ark. verall at least an aggregate earch project or essment (research ned;	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review) project) and Oral Presen	Project Written and Project tation (where		
8.1. 8.2.	weighted at 100% of the ma Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese Supervisor's Asso applicable) combin AND To have complete Resit	ark. verall at least an aggregate earch project or essment (research ned; d the course within	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review) project) and Oral Presen	Project Written and Project tation (where		
8.1. 8.2. 9.	weighted at 100% of the material Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese Supervisor's Asso applicable) combin AND To have complete Resit As First Sit Consequences of Failure	ark. verall t least an aggregate earch project or essment (research ned; d the course within e	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review) project) and Oral Presen	Project Written and Project tation (where		
8.1. 8.2. 9. 9.1.	weighted at 100% of the ma Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese Supervisor's Asse applicable) combin AND To have complete Resit As First Sit Consequences of Failure A candidate who fails at the A candidate who fails at the	ark. verall it least an aggregate earch project or essment (research ned; d the course within e he first attempt will b he second attempt v	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review) project) and Oral Presen 5 years from the start of Year	Project Written and Project tation (where 3.		
 8. 8. 1. 9. 1. 9. 2. 10. 	weighted at 100% of the ma Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (rese Supervisor's Asse applicable) combin AND To have complete Resit As First Sit Consequences of Failure A candidate who fails at the A candidate who fails at the	ark. verall it least an aggregate earch project or essment (research ned; d the course within e he first attempt will b he second attempt v	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review) project) and Oral Presen 5 years from the start of Year e permitted one resit opportu ill be required to relinquish th	Project Written and Project tation (where 3.		
8.1. 8.2. 9. 9.1. 9.2.	 weighted at 100% of the max Requirements to Pass O First Sit Having obtained a Submission (reseasubmission (reseasupervisor's Asseapplicable) combin AND To have complete Resit As First Sit Consequences of Failure A candidate who fails at the study; s/he will have the right Classification 	ark. verall It least an aggregate earch project or essment (research hed; d the course within e first attempt will b he second attempt v ght of appeal as de st attempt, obtains	ed average of 50% from the F critiqued scientific review) project) and Oral Presen 5 years from the start of Year e permitted one resit opportu ill be required to relinquish th	Project Written and Project tation (where 3. nity. ne course of tions.		

Disclosure of Marks				
Results will be published by candidate number.				
Absence From In-Course Assessment				
An allowable absence is one that is for a significant unforeseeable event such as illness. Any other foreseeable absence will only be considered allowable if agreed by the Student Performance and Development System (formerly Academic Progress Committee) and this will only apply in very strictly limited circumstances.				
Where a student has an <i>allowable</i> absence, they will be marked absent (A) from a summative assessment and will be required to sit the assessment activity at the next available opportunity.				
A student absent for any other reason will be awarded 0 for the assessment.				
Late Submission of Work				
Reports, Projects, and Assignments submitted after the due deadline will be subject to the late submission policy set out in the College's General Assessment Regulations for Taught Courses.				
The RP2/Critiqued Scientific Review is subject to a minimum mark of 50% for work submitted up to 72 hours late.				