

Individual Report

Exam board meeting: 11-Oct-2024

RCVS Certificate in Advanced Veterinary Practice, 2024/25

Professor Carmel Mooney

The Programme

Please comment, as appropriate, on the following aspects of the programme:

1.1 Course content

The course content is excellent with a variety of subject areas and flexibility to maximise engagement and inclusion

1.2 Learning objectives, and the extent to which they were met

Learning objectives clear and met.

1.3 Teaching methods

Teaching methods are excellent; the detailed feedback that students are provided with is exemplary.

COURSE DIRECTOR: Dr Jill Maddison

Course Director Response:

Thank you for your very positive comment - we will ensure our tutors are made aware of your comments

Action Required:

Action Deadline:

Action assigned to:

1.4 Resources (in so far as they affected the assessment)

Administrative staff are excellent at providing help and instruction.

COURSE DIRECTOR: Dr Jill Maddison

Course Director Response:

Thank you

Action Required:

Action Deadline:

Action assigned to:

1.5 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the Programme

No further comments

Student performance

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

2.1 Students' performance in relation to those at a similar stage on comparable courses in other institutions, where this is known to you

Comparable to other institutions

2.2 Quality of candidates' knowledge and skills, with particular reference to those at the top, middle or bottom of the range

The quality is excellent and there is clear demarcation between excellent and good.

2.3 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the students' performance

No further comments.

Assessment Procedures

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

3.1 Assessment methods (relevance to learning objectives and curriculum)

The assessment of learning objectives is adequate.

3.2 Extent to which assessment procedures are rigorous

Assessment procedures appear rigorous. Any candidates failing submitted work are re-marked and assessed by external examiner.

3.3 Consistency of the level of assessment with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ)

Consistent with FHEQ

3.4 Standard of marking

Appropriate

3.5 In your view, are the procedures for assessment and the determination of awards sound and fairly conducted? (e.g. Briefing, Exam administration, marking arrangements, Board of Examiners, participation by External Examiners)

The briefing exam administration etc are all excellent.

3.6 Opinion on changes to the assessment procedures from previous years in which you have examined

Not applicable

3.7 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the procedures

The dedication of staff to appropriate and beneficial feedback is excellent.

4.1 Comments I have made in previous years have been addressed to my satisfaction

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.2 An acceptable response has been made

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.3 I approved the papers for the Examination

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.4 I was able to scrutinise an adequate sample of students' work and marks to enable me to carry out my duties

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.5 I attended the meeting of the Board of Examiners held to approve the results of the Examination

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.6 Candidates were considered impartially and fairly

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.7 The standards set for the awards are appropriate for qualifications at this level, in this subject

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.8 The standards of student performance are comparable with similar programmes or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.9 I have received enough training and support to carry out my role

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.10 I have received sufficient information to carry out my role (where information was insufficient, please give details)

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.11 Appropriate procedures and processes have been followed

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

4.12 The processes for assessment and the determination of awards are sound

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

Completion

If you have identified any areas of good practice, please comment more fully here. We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

5.1 Do you have any suggestions for improvements based on experience at other institutes? We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

No further comment