ANNUAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT REPORT 2016/17

Appendix 3: External Examiners' report BVetMed Year 3

This appendix contains Course Director's/Year Leader's responses to 2016/17 External Examiners' comments and updates to actions from External Examiners' reports from previous years (if applicable).

As Course Director/Year Leader please ensure you reflect on External Examiners' comments in the Course Review section. Please ensure that any actions to be taken in response to these comments have been recorded in your Annual Quality Improvement Report.

For support or advice please contact Ana Filipovic, Academic Quality Officer 'Standards', afilipovic@rvc.ac.uk, 01707666938

Appendix 3 consists of:

a.	Updates from Course Director/Year Leader to actions from previous years' reports
b.	2016/17 Collaborative Annual Report with responses from Course Director/Year Leader

a. Update to actions from 2015/16 External Examiners Report:

Question	External Examiners'	Course Director's response	Update in 2016/17
Question	comments	Course Director 3 response	opulate iii 2010/17
1. The Programme	Expected Level of	If the external examiners feel that	
21 1116 1 108 101111111	Animal Handling by	there is merit in earlier evaluation	Dr Troy Gibson should be
	third year	of the animal handling skills of the	asked to record a
		students prior to year 3, though	demonstration of how to
		they do not handle animals	rug a horse the RVC way. It
		unsupervised, we shall be happy	is possible that some
		to investigate the feasibility of	students' rug horses as
		moving the DOPs earlier in the	advised by the British Horse
		curriculum.	Society (BHS), which differs
		Action Required:	
		Consideration of formative	to the way in which the RVC
		assessment of animal handling at	expects a horse to be
		some point in the first two years.	rugged.
		Action Deadline: June 2017 Action assigned to: Year Leaders	Action: Dr Troy Gibson
		1, 2 & 3.	It was not understood why
			there had been an
			increased level of fails in
			the DOPs at the first two
			attempts, which classes as
			the first sit. It was noted
			that students should not be
			assessed on things they
			have not practised.
			Action: Year Leader, Course
			Director and Dr Troy Gibson
			to liaise to consider if there
			are any gaps in the
			teaching/practical content
			that might explain a higher
			failure rate in the animal
			handling DOPs.
5. Suggestions for	A computer based	A computer-based exam has been	The Head of Exams noted
improvements	exam would allow	considered, however it is not	that running computer-
	inclusion of images,	feasible currently based on the	based exams at the RVC is
	video and audio	requirements for a very large	not currently an option.
	which is used at other	number of students.	There are a lot of technical
	institutions.	Action Required:	issues to address.
		The Year Leader is to ask the	Du Lie Marris in f
		Head of Exams if consideration	Dr Liz Mossop is from the
		has been given to using external facilities for holding computer-	University of Nottingham
		based exams.	where computer-based
		Action Deadline:	exams are run. Dr Mossop
		31.12.16	will be attending the INSET
	1		i .

Action assigned to:	Day on Assessment in
Year Leader – Dr Pete Mantis	January 2018. It was
	agreed to ask Dr Mossop
	for further details about
	how computer-based
	exams are run at
	Nottingham.
	Action: Head of Exams

b. 2016/17 Collaborative Annual Report with responses from Course Director/Year Leader

Exam board meeting: 16-May-2017

Collaborative Report

Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine, Year 3, 2016/17

Lead examiner: Mr Ian McCrone

Collaborating examiner(s): Ms Rachel Burrow, Prof Sandy Love

The Programme

Please comment, as appropriate, on the following aspects of the programme:

1.1 Course content

The course content is appropriate for end of third year exams in a 5 year veterinary course

Response from college

NO

requested:

1.2 Learning objectives, and the extent to which they were met

The learning objectives are appropriate and we are satisfied that they have been met.

Response from college

NO

requested:

1.3 Teaching methods

As far as we can assess all teaching methods are appropriate.

Response from college

NO

requested:

1.4 Resources (in so far as they affected the assessment)

The resources available are satisfactory.

Response from college

NO

requested:

1.5 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the Programme

As for last year, we have a fair number of students not reaching the expected level of animal handling skills by the end of the third year, and this included students who had scored exceptionally high marks on the written papers. We appreciate the response from last year but could the importance of these skills be emphasised and students to be given opportunity to develop these skills in the preceding years. (We appreciate that this may already be done).

Response from college requested:

YES

COURSE DIRECTOR: Mrs Lindsay Kellett-Gregory

Course Director Response:

Whilst the students are assessed on their animal handling skills for the first time in the third year of the course, they have training on all species in years 1 and 2 of the course as previously listed. In addition, the students now have access to teaching materials from the clinical skills centre in the form of videos covering the vast majority of required skills for review, in addition to a sample assessment sheet and full information about the assessment process from the examinations office.

The students are encouraged to reflect on their animal handling training and previous handling experiences, and identify any areas for improvement to be addressed during the summer break before the start of the third year. A reminder to the students about the learning resources available to help them acquire these important day 1 skills can be provided. Remedial teaching is also provided to all students prior to a repeat sitting of the examination.

Action Required:

Reminder to be provided to the students during the animal handling training as to the importance of the skills, the learning resources available, and the assessment they will undertake.

Action Deadline:

01-Oct-2017

Action assigned to:

Lindsay Kellett-Gregory

Student performance

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

2.1 Students' performance in relation to those at a similar stage on comparable courses in other institutions, where this is known to you

The students' performance was similar to other institutions with comparable courses.

Response from college

NO

requested:

2.2 Quality of candidates' knowledge and skills, with particular reference to those at the top, middle or bottom of the range

The students performance ranged from exceptional to poor. For the written papers the proportion of students failing is equivalent to other institutions.

Response from college

NO

requested:

2.3 Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the students' performance

For students failing the exams we would like to know if appropriate remedial revision or advice in exam techniques is offered over the summer months in preparation for the retakes.

Response from college

YES

requested:

COURSE DIRECTOR: Mrs Lindsay Kellett-Gregory

Course Director Response:

Students who are unfortunately unsuccessful in their examinations are all invited to a debrief session run by the Senior Clinical tutor where advice and assistance can be provided. They are strongly advised to the attend this session but the attendance is usually less than 100%. Clinical tutors are also informed directly of any tutees who have been unsuccessful and students encouraged to meet with their tutor. At this time the examination script may be reviewed, additional support in exam technique and stress management provided, as well as any other support needed.

There are no specific sessions provided over the summer months owing to low student attendance due to EMS. The advice centre can be contacted in several ways over the summer period for advice about exam performance. The learning materials remain available on Learn for review by the students to work on areas for improvement.

Action Required:

Discussion with senior clinical tutor (Karen Humm) regarding addition support possible for failing BVM 3 students.

Action Deadline:

01-Nov-2017

Action assigned to:

Lindsay Kellett-Gregory

Assessment Procedures

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

3.1 Assessment methods (relevance to learning objectives and curriculum)

The assessment methods are appropriate.

Response from college

NO

requested:

3.2 Extent to which assessment procedures are rigorous

We are confident that the assessment procedures are suitably rigourous.

Response from college

NO

requested:

3.3 Consistency of the level of assessment with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ)

The level of assessment is consistent with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications.

Response from college

NO

requested:

3.4 Standard of marking

The marking is automatic. There is extensive statistical analysis of the response which allowed an easy assessment of the validity of questions.

Response from college

NO

requested:

3.5 In your view, are the procedures for assessment and the determination of awards sound and fairly conducted? (e.g. Briefing, Exam administration, marking arrangements, Board of Examiners, participation by External Examiners)

The procedures for assessment are very fairly conducted. The oversight by three external examiners with experience from different institutions and with different clinical backgrounds allowed a thorough review of the exam. The examination office dealt very quickly and efficiently with the external examiners' questions.

Response from college

NO

requested:

3.6 Opinion on changes to the assessment procedures from previous years in which you have examined

No changes of assessment procedures.

Response from college

NO

requested:

$3.7\,\,$ Please provide any additional comments and recommendations regarding the procedures

We were very happy with the procedures.

Response from college NO requested:

4.1 Comments I have made in previous years have been addressed to my satisfaction					
Yes					
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:					
Response from college NO requested:					
4.2 An acceptable response has been made					
Yes					
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:					
Response from college NO requested:					
4.3 I approved the papers for the Examination					
Yes					
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:					
All questions were scrutinised by the external examiners. Although most queries were explained or rectified there were some issues with questions that were identified but still made it through to the exam. The process allowed this issues to be dealt with later.					
Response from college NO requested:					
4.4 I was able to scrutinise an adequate sample of students' work and marks to enable me to carry out my duties					
Yes					
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:					
Response from college NO requested:					
·					

4.5 I attended the meeting of the Board of Examiners held to approve the results of the Examination			
Yes			
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:			
Response from college NO requested:			
4.6 Candidates were considered impartially and fairly			
Yes			
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:			
The exams and the exam process were fair.			
Response from college NO requested:			
4.7 The standards set for the awards are appropriate for qualifications at this level, in this subject			
Yes			
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:			
Response from college NO requested:			
4.8 The standards of student performance are comparable with similar programmes or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar			
Yes			
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:			
Response from college NO requested:			
4.9 I have received enough support to carry out my role			
Yes			
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:			
We would like to congratulate the examination office for the superb support they gave the external examiners.			
Response from college NO requested:			

4.10 I have received sufficient information to carry out my role (where information was insufficient, please give details)				
Yes				
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:				
Response from college NO requested:				
4.11 Appropriate procedures and processes have been followed				
Yes				
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:				
Response from college NO requested:				
4.12 The processes for assessment and the determination of awards are sound				
Yes				
Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:				
Response from college NO requested:				

Completion

If you have identified any areas of good practice, please comment more fully here. We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

5.1 Do you have any suggestions for improvements based on experience at other institutes? We may use information provided in our annual external examining report:

As for last year we suggested the possibility of a computer based exam allowing inclusion of images, videos and audio for the assessments. This is used at other institutions.

Response from college NO requested:

5.2 External Examiner comments: For College information only (Responses to External Examiners are published on the College's website. Please only use this box to add any comments that you wish to remain confidential, if any)

Response from college requested:

NO