OVERVIEW OF THE ANNUAL AND CYCLICAL MONITORING AND REVIEW OF COURSES, AND APPROVAL OF CHANGES TO COURSES

1. PURPOSE

The purposes of this procedure are to provide an overview of how the College satisfies itself on an annual and cyclical basis that:

(i) its courses are achieving their objectives
(ii) quality control mechanisms are adequate
(iii) strengths and weaknesses can be identified
(iv) good practice and praise can be disseminated
(v) the College’s academic policies are being implemented
(vi) risks can be identified and mitigated against

2. SCOPE

This procedure covers all taught courses, both undergraduate and postgraduate. It does not cover research degrees or non-award-bearing continuing education.

Monitoring and evaluation of higher education is an essential process within providers, forming a fundamental part of the academic cycle. It can, and should, look at all aspects of the higher education experience. All higher education providers are involved in course monitoring and review processes as these enable providers to consider how learning opportunities for students may be improved.

For details of the mandatory expectations for quality and standards in relation to the monitoring and evaluation of higher education, please read the advice and guidance available on the Quality Assurance Agency website https://www.qaa.ac.uk/quality-code/advice-and-guidance.

This procedure is designed to ensure that the College continues to meet the mandatory expectations and core practices in the UK Quality Code for Higher Education. https://www.qaa.ac.uk/quality-code.

3. ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

- Reviews of Modules and BVetMed: Strands; Rotations and Research Project 2
- plus the Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (TliHE) course, procedure
- and forms
- Student, Graduate and Employer Survey procedure
- External Examiner procedure
- Annual Quality Improvement Report forms
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4. PROCEDURE

4.1 All courses are monitored continuously by the Learning, Teaching and Assessment Committee (LTAC) and the Teaching Quality Committee (TQC), which monitors all aspects of teaching quality (all taught courses).

4.2 There is an annual cycle of monitoring courses (see Fig 1). The Course Management Committee (CMC) uses the Annual Quality Improvement Reporting process to assure itself that its courses are running successfully.

4.3 All of the College’s Courses are subject to periodic review every six years. For further details, see the Guidelines for Periodic Review. All required and/or recommended actions from Periodic Review reports are to be inserted into the Annual Quality Improvement Report action plan by the Course Director, once the Periodic Review report has been approved by the Teaching Quality Committee and Academic Board. Actions will be monitored through the Annual Quality Improvement Report process.

Courses might be excused from being required to submit an AQIR for the current academic year if the Periodic Review of the course takes place at the end of a that academic year/cycle.

4.4 Occasionally proposals are made to change a course from what had been approved at the time of validation. Depending upon the change(s) required, various stages of approval are required, (see Fig 2).

4.5 Where changes to a course are proposed which will significantly affect the students already registered on the course e.g. changes to the assessment or learning outcomes, the affected students must be consulted with in accordance with the General Regulations for Study and Award.
Fig1: Annual cycle of monitoring and review of courses

MONITORING & REVIEW OF COURSES

Module/Strand/ Elective/RP2/ Rotation Student Survey results

Module/Strand/ RP2/Rotation Reviews

Course Management Committee (optional but recommended)

Items to be considered in AQIR:
- Course Management Committee minutes
- Periodic Review – action plan
- Validation report (where relevant)
- Student Evaluation Survey results for Module/Strand/ Elective/RP2/Rotation
- Annual Quality Improvement Report including:
  - Appendix 1: Course Statistics - Admissions/Contextual Progression/Achievement/Destination
  - Appendix 2: Student 'Satisfaction' Survey results including Graduate and Employer Survey results where relevant
  - Appendix 3: External Examiner Report

Considered by Annual Quality Improvement Group (the Teaching Quality Committee Sub-groups)

Considered by Course Management Committee

Summary of AQIRs to the Learning, Teaching and Assessment Committee, the Teaching Quality Committee, Academic Board and RVC Council
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Fig2: Approval process for proposed change(s) to courses

Key and notes:
CMC – Course Management Committee
MSMC – Modular Scheme Management Committee
RDC – Research Degrees Committee
LTAC – Learning Teaching and Assessment Committee

*Assessment Rules - Guidance for design and assessment in modules (PDF)

1 New/Replacement Module/Strand proposals progressed to LTAC must be considered with the relevant minutes of the preceding CMC / MSMC meeting.

Stage Three Approval of Courses replaces sole LTAC / RDC approval and applies only where a New / Replacement Module / Strand involves collaborative provision with a partner adjudged during initial due diligence to be “high risk” (see Collaborative Provision procedure)

Where the proposal is deemed as “high risk” during the Risk Assessment process and/or involves collaborative provision with a partner adjudged during initial due diligence to be “high risk”

Re-validation is only required when there are changes to programme level learning outcomes. The format of re-validation (eg the membership of the panel) will vary depending on the significance of the change.

Major changes to programme specifications, for example 1. Anything that alters the overall programme level learning outcomes. 2. Major changes to course structure such as changes to core, non-core module ratio’s etc.

Changes approved at RDC for the Specialist ‘Professional’ Doctorates or MRes wouldn’t also need approval of LTAC (eg changes to assessment, programme title or new /replacement modules without significant resource implications (medium change).